

REMARKS

This amendment is responsive to the office action mailed March 1, 2005. The undersigned wishes to remark that this application is related to U.S. application serial number 10/404,857 (hereinafter the "'857 application"). Since the rejections given in the present office action substantially mirror those given by the Examiner in the co-pending '857 application, the present amendment has been prepared with the understanding reached at the recent personal interview for the '857 application (i.e., the interview of April 26, 2005)

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC § 101

Claims 1-6 and 12-14 were rejected under 35 USC § 101 as being inoperative and therefore lacking utility. It is believed that the two new drawing figures being added to the application remove this ground for rejection. New Figures 7 and 8 further illustrate how all the coils are wound onto the lamination stack of the armature. Figure 7 was prepared by the undersigned using only the representative portion of the winding pattern illustrated in Figure 4. Figure 8 summarizes the information presented in Figure 7 so that one can see even more directly the specific subcoils that are wound in each slot, as well as the total number of winding turns in each slot. The new figures do not represent new matter as they merely illustrate how the winding pattern of Figure 4 will cause all the subcoils to be distributed in the 12 slots of the armature.

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC § 112, FIRST PARAGRAPH

Claims 1-6 and 12-14 were also rejected under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, as

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached "Replacement Sheets" of drawings include the addition of new Figures 7 and 8. The attached "Replacement Sheets," which include Figures 1-4, 5A-C, 6A-C, 7, and 8, replace the original sheets including Figures 1-4, 5A-C, and 6A-C.

Attachment: 8 Drawing Replacement Sheets, Pages 1/8-8/8

failing to comply with the enablement requirement. In view of the foregoing remarks and the new Figures 7 and 8 being submitted herewith, it is believed that this rejection has also been rendered moot.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 26, 2005

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

MDE/lf-s

By: Mark D. Elchuk

Mark D. Elchuk, Reg. No. 33,686

G:\melchuk\0275Y\000361.COC\Amendment due 06-01-05.doc